

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/453,498	12/03/1999	BRUCE R. SMITH	013550-069	2714
7	590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL W. FERRELL, ESQ. FERRELLS, PLLC		KIM, EUGENE LEE		
P.O. BOX 312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLIFTON, VA 20124-1706			3721	
			D. TT. M. H. ED. 05/10/2004	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/453,498	Art Unit	Ţ			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Eugene L Kim	ith the correspondence at	ddross			
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	nui die correspondence de	207 C33			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 April 2004.					
•—	This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are allowed.	,					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21, 23-26</u> is/are rejected.	· · · —					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	miner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.440(-).(-)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been priority documents have been	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 2. over Van Handel et al (#4,721,500) in view of Gospe et al (#6,029,730). Handel et al substantially show the claimed subject matter including a female and male die wherein the dies are heated with electrical resistance heaters. The heaters are not shown. Handel also discloses thermistors mounted in the dies as close as possible to the forming surfaces. (Col 7 lines 65 - col 8 line 2). Handel does not disclose the exact heating elements as claimed Gospe et al disclose that a heater assembly in a cast-in method wherein the heating element is cast in a platen (col 4 lines 48+). Gospe et al disclose that the foil heater 62, which includes a resistor line, which is an electrically conductive material such as, nickel chromium, can be replaced with various thermoelectric devices, such as a cast-in heater. Gospe et al disclose a top and bottom plate 22, 24 that are made from an alloy material, such as, stainless steel (col 3 lines 25+). Gospe et al disclose that the heating elements are inserted in holes/recesses 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Handel with a heating element as taught by Gospe et al to use an alternative

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

known thermoelectric device. Since the primary reference, Handel discloses upper an lower resistance heaters, it would have been obvious to provide Handel with heater elements as taught by Gospe et al on both the upper and lower dies to provide for efficient temperature control on the heating surfaces. Regarding the actual materials being claimed, such as, the cast-in material being ferrous or non-ferrous based alloys, the examiner notes that selection of material on the basis of suitability for in the intended use is entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the ad to use resistive wires as heating elements. Regarding the annular shape of the cast-in heaters as claimed, Gospe et al disclose that the heater is placed within recesses 30 which infers that the heater 32 would be annular in shape to fit into the recess/hole 30.

- 3. Claim 22 is allowed.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument regarding the Declaration of Mr. Mark B. Littlejohn, the examiner notes that secondary reference Gospe et al is being used to teach the concept that cast-in heaters are interchangeable with various heating elements as discussed in col 4 lines 48+. Therefore, the combination is deemed proper and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the examiner notes that mere selection of known materials, such as cast-in heaters, on the basis of suitability for the intended use would be entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498

Art Unit: 3721

The examiner also notes that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case, the secondary reference teaches that cast-in heater are interchangeable with various heating elements as discussed supra and, therefore, it would have been obvious to interchange the heating means of van Handel et al with the cast-in heater means of Gospe et al to use an alternative known thermoelectric device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 703 308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

Lowel